

overtreating patients with no reasonable chance of long term benefit.

R W BURSLEM

Honorary Consultant Gynaecologist,
Withington Hospital,
West Didsbury,
Manchester M20 8LR

P M WILKINSON

Consultant Physician/Clinical Pharmacologist,
Christie Hospital,
Manchester M20 9BX

- Richardson GS, Scully RE, Nikrui N, Nelson JH Jr. Common epithelial cancer of the ovary. *N Engl J Med* 1985;312:415-24.
- Dembo AS, Bush RS. Radiation therapy of ovarian cancer. In: Griffiths CT, Fuller AF Jr, eds. *Gynecological oncology*. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1983:263-98.
- Young RC. Gynecologic malignancies. *Cancer Chemotherapy Annual* 1979;1:340-75.
- Young RC, Chabner BA, Hubbard SP, et al. Prospective trial of melphalan (L-PAM) versus combination chemotherapy (Hexa-CAF) in ovarian adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 1978;299:1261-6.
- Bush RS. Therapy of advanced ovarian carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 1979;22:676.
- Barlow JJ, Blumenson LE. Therapy of advanced ovarian carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 1979;22:676.
- Bruckner HW, Cohen CJ, Goldberg JD, et al. Improved chemotherapy for ovarian cancer with cis-diamminedichloroplatinum and adriamycin. *Cancer* 1981;47:2288-94.
- Decker DG, Fleming TR, Malkasian GD, et al. Cyclophosphamide plus cisplatin in combination: treatment programme for stage III and IV ovarian carcinoma. *Obstet Gynecol* 1982;60:481-7.
- Neijt JP, Ten Bokkel Huinink WW, Van der Burg MEL, et al. Randomised trial comparing two combination chemotherapy regimens (Hexa-CAF vs Chap 5) in advanced ovarian carcinoma. *Lancet* 1984;ii:594-600.
- Carmo-Pereira J, Costa F, Henriques E, et al. Advanced ovarian carcinoma: a prospective and randomized trial of cyclophosphamide versus combination cytotoxic chemotherapy (Hexa-CAF). *Cancer* 1981;48:1947-51.
- Sturgeon JF, Fine S, Gospodarowicz MK, et al. A randomized trial of melphalan alone versus combination chemotherapy in advanced ovarian carcinoma. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 1982;1:108.
- Bell DR, Woods RL, Levi JA, et al. Advanced ovarian cancer: a prospective randomized trial of chlorambucil vs combined cyclophosphamide and cis-diamminedichloroplatinum. *Aust NZ J Med* 1982;12:245-9.
- Williams CJ, Mead GM, Macbeth FR, et al. Cisplatin combination chemotherapy versus chlorambucil in advanced ovarian carcinoma; mature results of a randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 1985;3:1455-62.
- Griffiths CT, Fuller AF. Intensive surgical and chemotherapeutic management of advanced ovarian cancer. *Surg Clin North Am* 1978;58:131-42.
- Johnson RJ. X-ray techniques and ultrasound in diagnosis and staging. In: Bleeher NM, ed. *Ovarian cancer*. Berlin: Springer, 1985:46-52.
- Ehrlich CE, Einhorn L, Stehman FB, Blessing J. Treatment of advanced epithelial ovarian cancer using cisplatin, adriamycin, and cytoxan—the Indiana University experience. *Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 1983;10:325-35.
- Canney PA, Moore M, Wilkinson PM, James RD. Ovarian cancer antigen CA125: a prospective clinical assessment of its role as a tumour marker. *Br J Cancer* 1984;50:765-9.
- Howell SB, Pfeifle CE, Wung WE, Olshen RA. Intraperitoneal cis-diamminedichloroplatinum with systemic thiosulfate protection. *Cancer Res* 1983;43:1426-31.
- McVie JG, Ten Bokkel Huinink WW, Aartsen E, et al. Intraperitoneal chemotherapy in minimal ovarian cancer with cisplatin and iv sodium thiosulfate protection. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 1985;4:125.
- Markman M, Howell S, Cleary S, Lucas W. Survival following cisplatin (DDP)-based intraperitoneal chemotherapy for refractory ovarian carcinoma. *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 1986;5:113.

Indium-111 leucocyte scanning—underused?

Indium-111 leucocyte scanning is an accurate method of diagnosing focal sepsis, and is used to localise and measure the activity of disease in some inflammatory conditions. It is, however, less widely used than other nuclear medicine investigations, and some departments do not perform it at all. What is the clinical role of the test, and where should it be available?

Labelling leucocytes means separating them from other blood cells and incubating them with an ¹¹¹In complex, usually oxine or tropolone. The labelled cells are then reinjected and the patient scanned. Donor cells can be used in neutropenic patients.^{1 2} Because patients do not need preparation and contrast media are unnecessary the test is particularly useful postoperatively or in severely ill patients. Some abscesses may be identified 30 minutes after reinjecting labelled cells, although the maximum sensitivity of detection

is not reached for 24 hours.³ Cells are taken up by the reticuloendothelial system in the liver, spleen, and bone marrow, and additional radiopharmaceuticals may be needed to detect sepsis in these organs.^{4 5} The fact that the bowel and kidneys do not take up the labelled cells is an important advantage in investigating intra-abdominal disease. All areas of the body can be examined, and often unsuspected extra-abdominal foci of infection are identified.⁶ Studies have shown sensitivity and specificity of 84-95% for soft tissue infection^{3 6 7}; false positive scans occur particularly with haematomas or coexisting inflammatory disease, and false negatives with chronic sepsis.⁸ New insights have also been gained: serial imaging has shown that intra-abdominal abscesses often communicate with the bowel.⁹ This was not appreciated previously since the site of the communication is often not apparent even when open surgical drainage is performed.

Ultrasound scanning and computed tomography are also used to localise abdominal sepsis. Few clinical trials have compared these techniques.^{7 10} All the techniques have limitations, and sometimes more than one will be needed. When clinical localising signs are present or when results are needed urgently then ultrasound scanning or computed tomography is likely to be the first investigation, the choice depending on the localising site. ¹¹¹In labelled leucocyte scanning will be needed in some cases, particularly when it is not clear if a fluid collection is purulent or immediately after operation when ultrasound scanning and computed tomography may be technically difficult. Centres without computed tomography will rely heavily on leucocyte scanning as the first investigation. When there are no localising signs leucocyte scanning should be the first investigation^{6 9 10}; ultrasound scanning or computed tomography may occasionally be needed as well either because the result of the leucocyte scan is equivocal or to help plan drainage. Abscesses with enteric drainage have fewer localising signs and an appreciably lower detection rate by ultrasound scanning.⁹

Labelled leucocyte scanning is also valuable for identifying acute osteomyelitis unless the infection is in the spine—the reason for the lower sensitivity at this site is unknown.^{11 12} The test is less sensitive for chronic bone infection (probably because of its characteristically poor granulocyte infiltration) and is less sensitive but more specific than gallium-67 citrate scanning for identifying infection around prosthetic hips.¹³

Increasing attention has been paid recently to using leucocyte scanning for other inflammatory conditions.¹⁴ In inflammatory bowel disease areas of abnormal bowel can be localised and the response to treatment monitored.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Counting faecal ¹¹¹In activity is the most precise method for measuring disease activity, particularly if pure granulocyte preparations are labelled. The results of scanning in acute pancreatitis correlate well with other measures of disease activity and accurately predict outcome.¹⁸ The exact role of the technique in these inflammatory conditions is still being defined but is likely to be for monitoring the progression of the disease and the response to treatment rather than for making a diagnosis.

There are two main reasons why ¹¹¹In leucocyte scanning is less widely available than other nuclear medicine investigations. Firstly labelling leucocytes with ¹¹¹In is more complicated and time consuming than preparing other radiopharmaceuticals, taking up to two hours a patient. Skill in labelling cells can, however, be readily acquired by staff trained in preparing radiopharmaceuticals even when only modest facilities are available,^{19 20} and newer kit preparations may further simplify the procedure.²¹ The £60 cost of the

materials is not prohibitive, but the time needed for cell labelling may restrict the technique's use. The second reason that the technique is not more widely used is that, while gammacameras are now installed in most health districts, some departments do not possess radiopharmacy facilities and have to obtain radiopharmaceuticals daily from larger centres. This means that patients needing ¹¹¹In labelled leucocyte scanning have to travel to the centre for cell labelling, and many are too ill to be moved.

Several developments could ease these difficulties. A preliminary report suggests that blood may be transferred to and from radiopharmacies without damaging cell function,²² and methods of in vivo cell labelling are being investigated,²³ as are non-cellular carriers such as porphyrins²⁴ and sucral-fate.²⁵ More extensive use of donor cells may be possible, and a method of labelling leucocytes with technetium-99m has been described,²⁶ although others have reported a high false negative rate with the technique.²⁷

These new procedures need to be developed and fully evaluated. Until then the ability of ¹¹¹In labelled leucocyte scanning to localise sepsis and its increasing use in gastroenterological practice should encourage all departments with radiopharmacies to include this investigation among their routine procedures.

A J COAKLEY
Consultant

P J MOUNTFORD
Principal physicist

Department of Nuclear Medicine,
Kent and Canterbury Hospital,
Canterbury CT1 3NG

Correspondence to: Dr Coakley.

- Anstall HB, Coleman RE. Donor-leucocyte imaging in granulocytopenic patients with suspected abscesses: concise communication. *J Nucl Med* 1982;23:319-21.
- Dutcher JP, Schiffer CA, Johnston GS. Rapid migration of ¹¹¹indium-labelled granulocytes to sites of infection. *N Engl J Med* 1981;304:586-9.
- Datz FL, Jacobs J, Baker W, Landrum W, Alazraki N, Taylor A. Decreased sensitivity of early imaging with In-111 oxine-labeled leukocytes in detection of occult infection: concise communication. *J Nucl Med* 1984;25:303-6.
- Datz FL, Luers P, Baker WJ, Christian PE. Improved detection of upper abdominal abscesses by combination of ^{99m}Tc sulfur colloid and ¹¹¹In leukocyte scanning. *AJR* 1985;144:319-23.
- Mountford PJ, Coakley AJ, Hall FM, Wells CP. Dual radionuclide subtraction imaging of vertebral disk infection using an In-111 labelled leucocyte scan and a ^{99m}Tc-tin colloid scan. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1983;8:557-8.
- Seabold JE, Wilson DG, Lieberman LM, Boyd CM. Unsuspected extra-abdominal sites of infection: scintigraphic detection with indium-111-labeled leukocytes. *Radiology* 1984;151:213-17.
- Carroll B, Silverman PM, Goodwin DA, McDougall IR. Ultrasonography and Indium 111 white blood cell scanning for the detection of intraabdominal abscesses. *Radiology* 1981;140:155-60.
- McAfee JG, Samin Ami. In-111 labeled leukocytes: a review of problems in image interpretation. *Radiology* 1985;155:221-9.
- Saverymuttu SH, Peters AM, Lavender JP. Clinical importance of enteric communication with abdominal abscesses. *Br Med J* 1985;290:23-6.
- Knoche JQ, Koehler PR, Lee TG, et al. Diagnosis of abdominal abscesses with computed tomography, ultrasound, and In-111 leukocyte scans. *Radiology* 1980;137:425-32.
- Schauwecker DS, Park HM, Mock BH, et al. Evaluation of complicating osteomyelitis with Tc-99m MDP, In-111 granulocytes, and Ga-67 citrate. *J Nucl Med* 1984;25:849-53.
- Kaps HP, Georgi P, Sinn H. Pitfalls with white bloodcell scanning in orthopaedics. In: Schmidt HAE, E11 PJ, Britton KE, eds. *Nuclear medicine in research and practice*. Stuttgart, FK Schattauer, 1986:150-2.
- Mountford PJ, Hall FM, Wells CP, Coakley AJ. ^{99m}Tc-MDP, ⁶⁷Ga-citrate and ¹¹¹In-leucocytes for detecting prosthetic hip infection. *Nucl Med Commun* 1986;7:113-20.
- Froelich JW, Swanson D. Imaging of inflammatory processes with labeled cells. *Semin Nucl Med* 1984;14:128-40.
- Segal AW, Ensell J, Munro JM, Sarner M. Indium-111 tagged leucocytes in the diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Lancet* 1981;iii:230-2.
- Saverymuttu SH, Lavender JP, Hodgson HJF, Chadwick VS. Assessment of disease activity in inflammatory bowel disease: a new approach using ¹¹¹In granulocyte scanning. *Br Med J* 1983;84:1751-3.
- Buxton-Thomas MS, Dickinson RJ, Maltby P, Hunter JO, Wraith EP. Evaluation of indium scintigraphy in patients with active inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 1984;25:1372-5.
- Anderson JR, Spence RAJ, Laird JD, Ferguson WR, Kennedy TL. Indium-111 autologous leucocyte imaging in pancreatitis. *J Nucl Med* 1986;27:345-52.
- Mountford PJ, Allsopp MJ, Baird AC, et al. A study of leucocyte labelling efficiencies obtained with ¹¹¹Inoxine. *Nucl Med Commun* 1985;6:109-14.
- Mountford PJ, Wells CP, Coakley AJ, Hall FM, Baird AC. A simple facility for the aseptic preparation of In-111 labelled leucocytes. *Nucl Med Commun* 1983;4:24-30.
- Thakur ML, McKenney SL, Park CH. Evaluation of indium-111-2-mercaptoxypropylidene-N-oxide for labeling leucocytes in plasma: a kit preparation. *J Nucl Med* 1985;26:518-23.
- Marshall DSC, Buxton-Thomas MS. Study to examine the feasibility of transporting patients blood to another hospital for indium leucocyte labelling. *Nucl Med Commun* 1986;7:277.
- Locher JTH, Seybold K, Andres RY, Schubiger PA, Mach JP, Buchegger F. Imaging of inflammatory and infectious lesions after injection of radioiodinated monoclonal antiggranulocyte antibodies. *Nucl Med Commun* 1986;7:659-70.

- Zanelli GD, Bjarnason I, Smith T, Crawley JCW, Levi AJ. Technetium-99m-labelled synthetic porphyrin for the localization of deep-seated infection and inflammation. In: Schmidt HAE, E11 PJ, Britton KE, eds. *Nuclear medicine in research and practice*. Stuttgart: FK Schattauer, 1986:161-3.
- Dawson DJ, Khan AN, Miller V, Ratcliffe JF, Shreeve DR. Detection of inflammatory bowel disease in adults and children: evaluation of a new isotopic technique. *Br Med J* 1985;291:1227-30.
- Pullman W, Hanna R, Sullivan P, Booth JA, Lomas F, Doe WF. Technetium-99m autologous phagocyte scanning: a new imaging technique for inflammatory bowel disease. *Br Med J* 1986;293:171-4.
- Peters AM, Lavender JP, Danpure HJ, Osman S, Saverymuttu SH. Technetium-99m autologous phagocyte scanning: a new imaging technique for inflammatory bowel disease. *Br Med J* 1986;293:450-1.

Where should low birthweight babies be born?

Neonatal referral services have contributed greatly to improving survival in low birthweight infants. A logical extension of these services seemed to be in utero or antenatal referral of mothers and fetuses thought to be at risk of neonatal problems to a hospital with intensive care facilities. Unfortunately, however, obstetricians are poor at predicting such a need. As a result some referral centres have become overloaded with patients, many of whom would have been better managed at the referring hospital.

Referral centres have sought to justify in utero transfer, or to stem the increasing tide of patients, by comparing outcome in infants transferred antenatally, in those transferred postnatally, and in those booked into and born at the centre (p 981).^{1,3} Such comparisons are bound to be of limited value as these three groups are selected quite differently and comprise infants and fetuses of differing gestations and with differing problems. Attempts to correct for these differences lead to such small subgroups that conclusions cannot be confident. A randomised controlled trial of in utero against postnatal referral would provide an answer, but such a trial would probably be impossible in the current climate of public and professional opinion.

Are we, however, asking the right question? Low birthweight babies arise from geographically defined populations, and any estimate of the effect of referral must include data on those cared for at the original hospital. When such data were examined for a British health region in 1980 for very low birthweight infants the combined survival of those transferred in utero and postnatally and those born in hospitals other than the regional centre did not differ significantly from that of those booked into and born in the regional centre.⁴ A cynic could interpret this as evidence that the referral system achieves nothing, but it probably means that because of referral very low birthweight infants booked into district hospitals have a similar chance of survival to those booking into a regional centre.

The important question is not whether in utero or postnatal transfer is better, but rather which is the best balance of the two? Furthermore, how many babies will need transfer? Improvements may come from more precise prediction of neonatal difficulties or preterm labour, but are more likely to arise from more senior doctors deciding when to transfer.

Obstetricians in regional centres often do not like in utero transfer because regional funding might meet the extra costs of looking after the neonate but does not meet those of looking after the mother, who may also be critically ill.

Physiological stability in the first hours of life is critical if