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The national plan for the NHS in Britain has promised an additional 2000 general practitioners and 550 training places for general practice registrars by $2004 .{ }^{1}$ A study of the experiences and career intentions of general practice registrars was commissioned by the four deans of postgraduate general practice education responsible for south east England. These deaneries included a third of all registrars in general practice training in England. ${ }^{2}$

## Methods and results

A postal questionnaire was developed, piloted, and sent to all 470 general practice registrars in the Thames deaneries in April 2000. Altogether 373 (79\%) completed questionnaires were returned, $92 \%$ (330/358) by registrars in their final year of training. The proportion of female respondents ( $60 \%$, 218/ 365) was similar to the national average ${ }^{2}$; the participants' median age was 30.0 years (mean 31.5 years). Most had trained in UK medical schools, but 29\% (108/373) had qualified overseas (table). Doctors qualified overseas were older than UK graduates. Almost two thirds had chosen general practice after becoming a doctor, a median of three years after qualification.

Most registrars were satisfied with their training. Fifty eight per cent (213/366) would prefer the general
practice component of training to be extended by six months, to 18 months. Seventy four per cent (272/369) would be interested in a salaried post combining clinical work with further training and development for 12 months, and $70 \%$ (257) would be interested in a programme of higher professional education for up to 12 months after completing training.

Altogether $94 \%$ (349/370) intended to work in general practice in the United Kingdom at some stage in their career, $1 \%$ (4) did not intend to do so, and $4 \%$ (17) were undecided. Four per cent $(2 / 45)$ of doctors who had qualified in the European Union did not intend to work in the United Kingdom and 22\% who had qualified in the United Kingdom (10) were undecided ( $\mathrm{P}<0.0001, \chi^{2}$ test). Graduates from medical schools outside the European Union had similar intentions to graduates from the United Kingdom.

Overall 74\% (275/370) intended to take a general practice job immediately after training, but only $26 \%$ (96) a principalship. A significantly higher proportion of men than women intended to go straight into a principalship (37\% (54/147) v 18\% (39/218); $\mathrm{P}<0.001, \chi^{2}$ test). Overall, $81 \%(301 / 373)$ intended to be a principal at some stage ( $14 \%$ (53) were undecided). Overall, $52 \%$ (194/370) anticipated becoming a principal within 12 months and a further $24 \%$ (89) within 24 months of finishing training.

Characteristics of general practice registrars participating in the survey. Where the difference by sex is significant, the results are given. Values are percentages (numbers) unless otherwise specified

|  | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sex ( $n=365$ ): |  |
| Men | 40 (147) |
| Women | 60 (218) |
| Median (range) age ( $\mathrm{n}=362$ ): | 30.0 (95\% CI 24 to 59) |
| By place of qualification $\dagger$ : |  |
| UK medical school ( $\mathrm{n}=259$ ) | 29.0 (24-50) |
| Non-UK medical school ( $\mathrm{n}=103$ ) | 35.0 (25-59) |
| EU medical school ( $\mathrm{n}=44$ ) | 33.50 (25-50) |
| Non-EU medical school ( $\mathrm{n}=59$ ) | 35.0 (28-59) |
| Medical school ( $\mathrm{n}=373$ ): |  |
| In UK | 71 (265) |
| Elsewhere in EU | 12 (45) |
| Elsewhere in world | 17 (63) |
| Medical school attendance by sex ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ):* |  |
| Men (non-UK school) ( $\mathrm{n}=147$ ) | 37 (55) |
| Women (non-UK school) ( $\mathrm{n}=218$ ) | 23 (50) |
| Timing of decision to become general practitioner ( $\mathrm{n}=373$ ): |  |
| Before medical school | 7 (26) |
| At medical school | 17 (65) |
| During preregistration year | 14 (52) |
| After becoming doctor | 62 (230) |
| Median (range) number of years ( $\mathrm{n}=229$ ) $\ddagger$ | 3.0 (0-23) |
| Type of general practitioner training ( $\mathrm{n}=372$ ): |  |
| Three year vocational scheme | 46 (171) |
| Registrar year after self organised hospital training | 54 (201) |
| Full or part time training ( $\mathrm{n}=372$ ): |  |
| Part time | 8 (28) |
| Men ( $\mathrm{n}=147$ ) | 1 (2) |
| Women ( $\mathrm{n}=218$ ) | 12 (25) |
| Satisfaction with general practitioner training ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ): |  |
| Satisfied or very satisfied | 77 (281) |
| Mixed | 17 (61) |
| Dissatisfied or very dissatisfied | 6 (22) |
| Life partner's career affects where registrar can work ( $\mathrm{n}=295$ ):** |  |
| Women ( $\mathrm{n}=170$ ) | 79 (134) |
| Men ( $\mathrm{n}=113$ ) | 53 (60) |
| Marital status ( $\mathrm{n}=373$ ): |  |
| Has spouse or partner | 79 (295) |
| Spouse or partner is doctor | 29 (107) |
| Has children under 18 ( $\mathrm{n}=371$ ): | 33 (123) |
| Men ( $\mathrm{n}=147$ )*** | 40 (58) |
| Women ( $\mathrm{n}=218$ ) | 29 (63) |
| $\dagger$ Differences are significant between UK and non-UK graduates ( $\mathrm{P}<0.0001$, $t$ test) and between EU and other overseas qualified doctors ( $\mathrm{P}=0.006, t$ test). $\ddagger$ Mean (SD) 4.7 (4.34). ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}=0.003, \chi^{2}$ test. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.0001, \chi^{2}$ test. ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}<0.036, \chi^{2}$ test. |  |

Of those intending to be principals, $48 \%(143 / 301)$ planned to work full time. Thirty per cent (51/171) of women and $75 \%(91 / 122)$ of men planned to work full time ( $\mathrm{P}<0.0005, \chi^{2}$ test). Overall, almost half ( $49 \%$, 179/ 365) planned to work in general practice in the health authority in which they trained, $38 \%$ (138) as a principal.

## Comment

Our study shows that the government's national plan may understimate the numbers of new doctors needed. Another study has estimated that 150 new entrants to general practice are required to replace 100 retiring principals, but this is a minimum figure. ${ }^{3}$ If the government's ambitious target of 2000 more general practitioners is to be met, then the 550 promised new
training posts will not be enough. Qualified doctors currently in practice should be retained and encouraged to participate more in the workforce.

More than $10 \%$ of doctors training for general practice had attended medical school in other EU countries, and this group showed less commitment to working in general practice in the United Kingdom. Only half the registrars intended to stay in the health authority where they trained. Many expressed an interest in working part time, especially women registrars, who now make up more than half of doctors training for general practice.
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## Christmas corrections and clarifications

Photofinish
We unfortunately neglected to include the names of two contributors to the final page in our Christmas issue. We apologise for not attributing the piece about the Kettering hypertherm to Dr J Martin Stewart, a retired general practitioner from Oxford and Dr Rob Wilcox from Flinders Medical Centre in Adelaide, South Australia.
In grandfather's room
We were 10 years out with a date in this article by A M Clarfield (22-29 December, pp 1496-7). The article was originally published in the New York Times Sunday Magazine in 1986, not in 1996.
Christiaan Barnard: his first transplants and their impact on concepts of death
We have received several communications about a photograph that appeared in this article by Raymond Hoffenberg (22-29 December, pp 1478-80). We published what we thought was a 1967 photograph of Christiaan Barnard explaining heart transplantation. However, some readers have told us that the photograph shows Marius Barnard, Christiaan's brother. Hoffenberg himself was at first sure that it was Christiaan but now thinks it may indeed be Marius. The picture agency that supplied the picture has no information other than that it is Christiaan.

Income, health, and the National Lottery We inadvertently repeated a sentence in this editorial by Anthony Rodgers (22-29 December, pp 1438-9). The first part of the final sentence should have been omitted. Also, the penultimate sentence of the second paragraph should include the words "education" (after "employment") and "even" (before "entrepreneurship").

