Withdrawal from paroxetine can be severe, warns FDA

Alison Tonks Bristol

GlaxoSmithKline, a leading drugs manufacturer, was last week forced to admit that paroxetine, a widely prescribed anti-depressant and the company's best selling drug, can cause severe withdrawal symptoms when stopped.

The Food and Drug Administration in the United States published a new product warning about the drug, and in the same week the International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Associations declared the company guilty of misleading the public about paroxetine on US television a year ago.

"This drug has been promoted for years as safe and easy to discontinue," said Charles Medawar, head of Social Audit, a consumer research group specialising in medicines policy. "The

fact that it can cause intolerable withdrawal symptoms of the kind that could lead to dependence is enormously important to patients, doctors, investors, and the company.

"GlaxoSmithKline has evaded the issue since it was granted a licence for paroxetine over 10 years ago, and the drug has become a blockbuster for them, generating about a tenth of their entire revenue. The company has been promoting paroxetine directly to consumers as 'non-habit forming' for far too long."

Mr Medawar lodged a complaint a year ago after a spokesman from GlaxoWellcome, then a UK company, described withdrawal symptoms with paroxetine as "very rare" during an appearance on an American television network.

The spokesman added "[with-drawal] occurs in only two out of every 1000 patients . . . Even then the symptoms are mild and short lived."

In fact, withdrawal symptoms such as bad dreams, paraesthesia, and dizziness occur in up to 7% of patients, according to the new product information. The warning also mentions anecdotal reports of agitation, sweating, and nausea and tells doctors to consider restarting treatment if symptoms become intolerable.

The complaint was originally dismissed but went to appeal. On 18 January the International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Associations announced that GlaxoSmithKline had breached two of the industry's codes of practice. The federation ruled that the spokesman's comments were promotional and were wrong.

Dr Peter Haddad, consultant psychiatrist for Salford's Mental Health Service NHS Trust, welcomed the FDA's safety warning. He said: "Withdrawal side effects from antidepressants are far commoner than many people realise, and there's evidence that paroxetine has one of the highest rates. In most cases the symptoms are mild, but in a minority they are severe and prolonged—and treatable only by restarting the drug."

"There is also the danger of misdiagnosis and inappropriate investigation. Severe dizziness can easily look like labyrinthitis. Patients should be warned not to stop taking their antidepressants suddenly, and doctors should taper the dose at the end of treatment, keeping a close watch for withdrawal symptoms," Dr Haddad added.

He also called for discontinuation problems to be thoroughly assessed before new antidepressant drugs are licensed. "This is a seriously under-researched area. There's no good evidence to help doctors get the dosing right as patients come off treatment. It's still a matter of trial and error."

WHO issues guidance on monitoring injuries


Wendy Moore London

Experts from more than 50 countries have combined forces to produce guidelines that will help healthcare staff in developing countries to set up systems to monitor the toll of death and disability from injuries.

The manual, which is published by the World Health Organization and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in the United States, is designed to help planners and front line clinical staff produce systematic data on injuries, whether they are working in a computerised city hospital or a remote first aid clinic. The information that the systems generate will help target action to cut injury rates.

Injuries, whether intentional or unintentional, have been seen as the "Cinderella" of the public health movement. Prevention has been neglected until recently largely because, the WHO has argued, injuries were viewed as accidents or random events.

Now that the role of prevention-from seat belts to fire



safety—is better understood, public health efforts are still hampered by lack of information on numbers, types, and circumstances of injuries. Monitoring systems are least developed in poorer countries, where the toll of deaths and disability is often highest.

Although the guidelines are

designed as a practical aid to setting up data collection systems in all settings, they are meant to be particularly useful countries with severe restraints on resources. They explain how to set up simple, cheap but effective systems for collecting, coding, and processing data in places where there may be little or no electronic equipment, inadequate electricity supplies, few staff, and no research expertise. The manual reproduces model forms used in hospitals in South Africa, Jamaica, and Nicaragua.

More than five million people die worldwide from injuries each year, and many more have permanent or short term disabilities, according to WHO figures. Road traffic collisions are the leading cause of injury related deaths in men, and self inflicted harm is the main cause in women. But causes vary by region. In Africa, wars are the main cause of death from injuries, whereas in China self inflicted injuries are the main factor.

The WHO's injury surveillance guidelines are available at www.who.int/violence_injury_ prevention/index.html