affecting the vital interests of the profession—and, therefore, of the public—require free discussion.—Yours faithfully, W. J. MARSH.

Shrewsbury, February 9th, 1878.

SIR,—For years past, it has been a matter of surprise to me that our profession could rest content with the anomalous condition of its different grades, and that the "one portal" question could be discussed, and almost settled, without a thought being directed to the legal or ethical status of the members after their admission into the profession. Accordingly, I hailed your "leader" and the correspondence following it as the key-note of a much needed reform.

It has always appeared to me that there ought to be a very broad line of distinction between consultants and ordinary practitioners; not only in the matter of remuneration, but in the lines of practice open to them respectively. It matters not to what branch of practice a man devotes himself, he cannot or ought not to be at once a consultant and an ordinary practitioner. It is essential to have two classes, as distinct as barrister and attorney; but it is equally essential that there should be so possibility of competition between them. At present, there is no distinction, but universal competition. The same man is consultant in one house and general practitioner in another; his fees are sometimes honoraria, sometimes matters of account; and the fees of the general practitioner are often as large as those of the consultant for ordinary attendance. How is this to be obviated?

I venture to suggest that the Medical Council should take steps to separate the two classes, somewhat in this manner. The consultant should hold a special diploma; undergo a special course of study; and give evidence, in public gratuitous practice (hospitals), of his qualification for the position to which he aspires. A central authority should then have power to license or "call" him to practise as consultant in the special branch to which he has devoted himself. His practice should consist in giving counsel and advice, in consultation with general practitioners; and he should be precluded from holding communication with any patient, except through general practitioners. His fees should be honoraria, and not recoverable at law.

On the other hand, the general practitioner (so called until a better name is coined), holding certain diplomas, should be allowed to engage in one or all the branches of practice, and should be the ordinary medical and surgical adviser in all cases. He should be obliged to take out an annual licence to practise, which should give him a legal status to recover debts for professional service, in accordance with an established scale of charges. Such a scale would not prevent his charging more or less, according to circumstances; but would fix the amount recoverable at law, and so form a data for ordinary charges.

Such a division would correspond somewhat with barrister and attorney at law, and would be returning very much to the position of the profession in the last generation, when the apothecary was the ordinary attendant, and the physician or surgeon was called in to advise in difficult cases. It might possibly, at first sight, wound the susceptibilities of the more aspiring; but this is a matter of small moment compared with the advantage to the profession at large. There would be no longer any jealousy between the consultant and general practitioner. The former could not, if he would, be a competitor with the latter; and consultations would be more frequent, more cordial, and better paid than they are at present. There would be some reason then (and an explanation to the public) for men spending so much of their time in hospital and other gratuitous work, when it became necessary for them to prove their competence to fill the lucrative position of consultants. Professors or teachers would be drawn exclusively from their ranks, and many appointments would be open only to them; while the general practitioners would have all the family practice, with a legal basis for their charges.

I refrain from entering into details out of respect for the space in your columns, and am, sir, yours,

EDWARD CROSSMAN.

Hambrook, near Bristol, February 4th, 1878.

## CATGUT-DRAINAGE.

SIR,—Mr. Bradley, in his paper on Antiseptic Surgery (February 23rd, 1878), speaking of drainage, says, "Chiene's catgut method of drainage, which acts by capillary attraction, is perhaps the most inefficient of all". Mr. Bradley does not give his grounds for this assertion. I ask him to do so in your JOURNAL.—Yours, etc.,

Edinburgh, February 25th, 1878.

JOHN CHIENE.

DONATIONS, ETC.—E. A. S. has given £100 "In Memoriam", to found a bed in the Cripples' Home, Bray. The late Edmond Burke of Cork has bequeathed £50 to the North Infirmary, Cork, and a similar amount to the Mercy Hospital in the same town.

# PUBLIC HEALTH

AND

## POOR-LAW MEDICAL SERVICES.

## A CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION.

THE correspondence which has taken place between Mr. Buck of Newport, Essex, the Board of Guardians, and the Local Government Board, raises points of such importance to all Poor-law medical officers whose stipends are arranged in accordance with the Consolidated Orders, that we shall briefly comment on it. It would appear that, in April 1877, Mr. Buck was ordered by the relieving officer to visit two of the children of a labouring man named Wright, who were affected with bronchitis. Their names were duly entered in the medical relief-book, and they were attended until well, no objection being raised at that time to the propriety of such attendance. That the relieving officer was justified in giving such an order, is evident from the fact that Wright has seven children, the eldest aged only fourteen; this one, a girl, earns one shilling a week and her board; a younger one earns three shillings a week; the united earnings of the family—out of which rent, clothing, and food have to be found—amounting only to seventeen shillings a week.

In December last, Mr. Buck was called to attend one of the same children, whose leg was fractured. On the father applying to the relieving officer for an order, it was refused; that official, however, permitted him to apply to the Board of Guardians, but with no better success. Thereupon Mr. Buck wrote to the Board, requesting to know the reason why they refused to grant an order in December when one was sanctioned in April. After three several applications, he received, on the 16th ultimo, a letter through their clerk, simply acknowledging the receipt of his three letters. Not daunted by this, Mr. Buck aging the receipt of medical attendance in April and refusing it in December, the circumstances of the party remaining the same. In their reply, the Guardians state "that they consider the case in no way altered, and adhere to their former opinion (when expressed does not appear), that is, that Wright's case was not one that called for assistance from them".

Whilst this correspondence was going on, Mr. Buck wrote to the Local Government Board, giving the facts of the case, and inquiring whether, having ordered his attendance on the family in April, the guardians could refuse an order in December. He received for anxert that the guardians were the sole judges when an order for relief, medical or otherwise, should be granted; that if an order for medical relief were granted, such order only remained in force so long as the illness continued; and, therefore, an order for relief given in April would not apply to a fracture of a leg of one of the children in the following December; but expressing no opinion on the fairness of the guardians towards him.

Now, we can have no hesitation in expressing our decided opinion that Mr. Buck has been most meanly used by this Board of Guardians; for it will be noticed that, when medical relief pure and simple is ordered for Wright's children, no objection is raised; directly, however, that an accident occurs, the treatment of which involves a fee of £3, then the order is refused. Our readers will also not fail to observe that the Local Government Board do not give any opinion on the merits of the case, beyond stating that the guardians are the sole judges when relief should be afforded. Seeing, however, that Mr. Buck holds office under the provisions of the Consolidated Orders, we are of opinion that successful action could be taken in the County Court for recovery of the fee; at any rate it would be worth the trial, as it would determine the legality or otherwise of a board of guardians directing their medical officer to attend a case of ordinary illness, and refusing the same when such attendance carried with it a fee.

We would also advise Mr. Buck to get a question asked in the House of Commons on the subject, upon the framing of which question we should be very pleased to advise him, if he should make application to us.

## POOR-LAW MEDICAL APPOINTMENTS.

MacLaughlin, F. P., M.B., appointed Medical Officer of the Strangford Dispensary District of the Downpatrick Union, vice W. Chartres, L.K.Q.C.P.I., resigned.

THOMSON, J. A. Mulville, L.K.Q.C.P.I., appointed Medical Officer to the Workhouse and Public Vaccinator, Newport (Salop) Union.

## PUBLIC HEALTH MEDICAL APPOINTMENTS.

HARVEY, H., M.B., appointed Medical Officer of Health for the Township of Wavertree (Liverpool), vice James Legros, M.R.C.S., deceased.

PRICE, J. Le, M.R.C.S.E., reappointed Medical Officer of Health for the Standish

#### TREATMENT OF THE POOR AT GLOSSOP.

TREATMENT OF THE POOR AT GLOSSOP.

SIR,—In reference to your article in the Journal of last week respecting the above, allow me to say that the out-door medical relief cases of the adjacent villages to Colchester are equally heathenly treated; and I have no hesitation in saying that, were it not for the kindness of the medical officer of health, who, I am informed, now relieves some of the distress by visiting, giving medicine, etc., as well as kind words (which are quite foreign to that district), the poorer classes would suffer far more than any one could imagine in this enlightened quarter of the globe, where it is supposed that the clergy, medical officers, and other high public servants are only too happy to minister to the wants and necessities of their fellow creatures.—

I remain, yours, etc.,

MARTIN LUTHER.

## MILITARY AND NAVAL MEDICAL SERVICES.

INDIAN MEDICAL SERVICE.—The following is a list of the candidates for Her Majesty's Indian Medical Service who were successful at the competitive examination held at Burlington House, on the 11th February. when thirty candidates competed for twenty-five appointments, and all were reported qualified. [One appointment was subsequently added.]

	, ,	Marks.			Marks.
I.	Mullen, J. J	. 2716	14.	Bigger, S. F	. 1800
2.	Cretin, E	2383	15.	Nixon, G. M	. 1783
3.	Duncan, A	. 2123	16.	Worgan, T. E	1775
4.	Hemsted, A	. 2011	17.	Moran, J. J	. 1727
	Biale, J. S		18.	Warliker, D. P	. 1726
	Cones, G. A		19.	Smith, F. C	., 1695
	Nicholson, G. F		20.	Earle, J. H	1615
	Pope, T. H		21.	Damania, P. J.	. 1610
9.	Pemberton, R	. 1955	22.	Bull, G. H	1.500
10.	Robertson, G. S	. 1900	23.	Bull, G. H	5 1500
II.	Gomes, D. A	. 1869	24.	Carruthers, C. N	1565
12.	Monk, C	. 1848	25.	Armstrong, H	. 1540
13.	Walsh, J. E	. 1833	26.	MacCartie, F. F	1525

# MEDICAL NEWS.

ROYAL COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS OF LONDON.—The following gentlemen were admitted Licentiates on February 22nd, 1878.

ntlemen were admitted Licentiates on February 22nd, 1878.

Battye, John Howard, 123, St. George's Road, S.W.
Bennett, William Charles Storer, 17, George Street, Hanover Square, W.
Blaxland, Herbert, University Hospital, W.C.
Chawner, Alfred, 73, Addison Road, Kensington, W.
Coates, Harcourt, 8, Granby Street, N.W.
Griffith, Charles William, Station Hospital, Dover
Harris, George Francis Angelo, 55, Sutherland Gardens, W.
Pearse, Thomas Frederick, 62, Haverstock Hill, N.W.
Pointon, James, Birkenhead
Ritchie, Arthur Fisher, 13, Charlotte Street, W.C.
Sykes, John Frederick Joseph, 20, Fitzroy Square, W.
Turner, George Robertson, 9, Susses Gardens, W.

APOTHECARIES' HALL. - The following gentlemen passed their examination in the science and practice of medicine, and received certificates to practise, on Thursday, February 14th, 1878. Evans, Robert Davies, Criccieth, North Wales Reddy, Herbert Lionel. Montreal

The following gentlemen also on the same day passed their primary professional examination.

Bishop, James Thomas, Guy's Hospital Jago, Edwin Osborne, Guy's Hospital Morgan, William Pierce, Guy's Hospital Nourse, William John C., St. Mary's Hospital

KING AND QUEEN'S COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS IN IRELAND.—At the usual monthly examination meetings of the College, held on Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, February 12th, 13th, and 14th, 1878, the following candidates were successful.—For the Licences to practise Medicine and Midwifery.

Allen, Edmund Henry Collins, Patrick John Curran, Thomas John Emerson, John Joseph

Hamilton, John Robert Leary, Edward George Mackay, William Brittain Wride, Francis George

For the Licence to practise Medicine. Flannery, James Gordon

Hassard, Richard John

For the Licence to practise Midwifery. Love, Robert Lindsay

#### MEDICAL VACANCIES.

THE following vacancies are announced:—
BALROTHERY UNION—Medical Officer of Lusk Dispensary District. Salary,
£125 a year as Medical Officer, and £20 16s. 8d. as Sanitary Officer, with the
usual Registration and Vaccination Fees. Election will take place on the 2nd instant.

BROMYARD UNION, Parish of Cradley-Medical Officer and Public Vaccinator. Salary, £50 per annum, and fees. Applications to be made on or before the 9th instant.

GENEKAL INFIRMARY, Hertford—Medical Resident and Secretary. Salary, £100 per annum, with board, lodging, and washing. Applications to be made on or before the 6th instant. GORT UNION—Medical Officer of Ardrahan Dispensary District. Salary, £140

a year as Medical Officer, and Lto as Sanitary Officer, with Registration and Vaccination Fees. Election on the 14th instant.

KENSINGTON DISPENSARY—Resident Medical Officer. Salary, £125 per

KENSINGTON DISPENSARY—Resident Medical Officer. Salary, £125 per annum, wi.h furnished apartments, coals, gas, and attendance. Applications to be made on or before the 4th instant.

KILLALA UNION—Medical Officer of Killala Dispensary District. Salary, £60 yearly, and £20 as Sanitary Officer. Applications to the 2nd instant.

NARBERTH UNION—Medical Officer for No. 4 District. Salary, £35 per annum, and fees, with £10 as Medical Officer of Health.

PUBLIC DISPENSARY, Stanhope Street, Clare Market—Resident Medical Officer. Salary, £105 per annum, with furnished apartments, coals, and gas. Applications to be made on or before the 4th instant.

SLIGO UNION—Medical Officer for Carney Dispensary District No. 2. Salary, £120 per annum as Medical Officer, and £20 per annum as Sanitary Officer, with the usual Registration and Vaccination Fees. Applications to the morning of the 13th instant. of the 13th instant.

## BIRTHS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

The charge for inserting announcements of Births, Marriages, and Deat is 3s. 6d., which should be forwarded in stamps with the announcement.

FORT—MELLOR.—On February 20th, at the Parish Church, Oldham, Thomas L.R.C.P., M.R.C.S.L., L.M., to Annie, youngest daughter of the late J Haque Mellor, Esq., of Falcon House, Oldham.

#### DEATH.

TREDENNICK, William Magee, M.D., youngest son of the Rev. G. N. Tredennick, formerly Vicar of Kilbarrow, co. Donegal, at Shanganagh Terrace, Ballybrack, co. Dublin, aged 32, on February 26th.

WEST KENT MEDICO-CHIRURGICAL SOCIETY.—The fifth meeting of the twenty-second session was held at the Royal Kent Dispensary, Greenwich Road, on Friday, February 1st; W. Johnson Smith, F.R.C.S., President, in the chair. Dr. B. Peacock read a paper on some cases of Pleural Effusion. The next meeting will be on Friday, March 1st, at 8 P.M., when Dr. A. L. Galabin of Guy's Hospital will read a paper on Operative Measures in Congenital Atresia Vaginæ.

PRESENTATION TO DR. J. ORSBORN. - On Thursday, February 14th, a service of plate was presented to Dr. Orsborn of Bitterne, at the South-Western Hotel, Southampton, as a mark of esteem from a numerous body of friends on his relinquishing practice. The presentation comprised a salver, a suite of "apostles" spoons and salts, a service of small plate in chest, and a fish and dessert service; the whole being of solid silver and chastely engraved, and bearing also the crest of the recipient. The total subscriptions from about one hundred and twenty subscribers, many of whom were patients in humble circumstances, amounted to a trifle over £260. The salver bears the following inscription: "Presented, with a service of plate, to John Orsborn, Esq., M.D., by his friends and neighbours, on his retiring from practice. A.D. 1878." Along with the plate, the following address was presented. "To John Orsborn, Esq., M.D., M.R.C.S., etc. We whose names are inscribed hereon, having heard of your contemplated retirement from the active and arduous duties of your profesional practice, from which, combined with your skilful knowledge and uniform kindness, many of us have experienced and derived the comfort and alleviation so needful to us in the hour of sickness and affliction, beg to tender you our sincere and heartfelt wishes for your future health, happiness, and prosperity; and to assure you that, although you are about to remove from the sphere in which you have devoted so many years of your well-spent and useful life, whereby we shall necessarily be deprived not only of your professional services and assistance, but of the social intercourse which it has been our privilege and pleasure so long to enjoy, you will carry with you the regard and approbation of your numerous patients and friends, to which you are so justly and honourably entitled. With this memento, and in appreciation of your distinguished qualities and the talent you have so conspicuously displayed during your successful career, we also desire your small value, but a token expressive of our sincerity, respect, and esteem." acceptance of the accompanying testimonial-a tribute intrinsically of