

It is at present too early to give general advice about the attitude which the court will adopt to these proceedings. So far, the Medical Defence Union has instructed its solicitors to oppose such an application on one occasion and the application was dismissed with costs. There are a number of other applications threatened.

The purpose of this letter is to alert practitioners to a procedure which is adopted by some solicitors of writing to doctors drawing their attention to these new Rules and indicating that, if they do not voluntarily disclose their records, powers are available whereby the court can compel them to do so. The Union's solicitors consider that it will be a year or two before the general attitude of the court towards these applications will be crystallized, and it should not be assumed that because a solicitor makes an application it will necessarily be successful. Even if it is successful there are almost inevitably in a patient's medical records entries and reports which have no relevance to the litigation and which should not be disclosed.

The Medical Defence Union accordingly strongly advises all practitioners who receive an approach of this kind from solicitors to consult their defence organization forthwith in order that appropriate guidance may be given. Each case will have to be considered on its own merits at present, and no useful purpose would be served by endeavouring to lay down general guidelines until the Rule has been in operation for some time. —I am, etc.,

PHILIP H. ADDISON
Secretary, Medical Defence Union

London W.C.1

*An article by our Legal Correspondent appears at p. 577.—Ed., B.M.J.

Cardiac Arrhythmias during Laparoscopy

SIR,—It might be argued that the high incidence of cardiac arrhythmia which Drs. D. B. Scott and D. G. Julian found during anaesthesia for laparoscopy in which carbon dioxide was used for peritoneal insufflation (12 February, p. 411) was due to an entirely inappropriate type of anaesthetic rather than to the use of carbon dioxide in the peritoneum.

A technique of anaesthesia based on the use of small doses of gallamine and halothane (2%) with the patient breathing spontaneously might be expected to lead to an appreciable rise in the blood carbon dioxide tension in many situations. This is especially so in laparoscopy in which carbon dioxide is used for peritoneal insufflation. Here carbon dioxide will be absorbed from the peritoneum, and the respiratory response to the extra carbon dioxide will be impaired, not only because of the use of gallamine and halothane, but also because the diaphragm will be working at a mechanical disadvantage owing to the presence of gas in the peritoneum and, usually, to the use of a steep head-down tilt.

It would be difficult to imagine a better indication for the use of an anaesthetic technique based on the one of a moderate degree of passive pulmonary hyperventilation. If this is employed there seems no reason to abandon the use of carbon dioxide for peritoneal insufflation.—I am, etc.,

J. E. UTTING

Department of Anaesthesia,
University of Liverpool

SIR,—It is interesting that Drs. D. B. Scott and D. G. Julian (12 February, p. 411) advocate that the problem of carbon dioxide absorption with the consequent possibility of cardiac irregularities (albeit without haemodynamic disadvantage) be remedied by the substitution of nitrous oxide as the insufflating medium, even though the former, being less soluble, is theoretically less suitable.

It would appear that there is a simpler and more radical way. Accumulation of carbon dioxide resultant upon their use of a mask, with respiratory movement damped down by gallamine and halothane and mechanically hindered by abdominal distension, is readily preventable by the use of full relaxation with intermittent positive pressure respiration via an endotracheal tube. In this way a tidal volume adequate to deal with carbon dioxide absorption from the peritoneal cavity can be maintained. This will in addition avoid any danger from regurgitation and, in the presence of adequate premedication, obviate the need for halothane with its disadvantage in the immediate postpartum patient who requires sterilization.

I wonder if this is not a safer and more physiologically accurate method. It has certainly given no problems so far.—I am, etc.,

TRAVERS SAYER

Isle of Thanet District Hospital,
Margate, Kent

Doctors and Overpopulation

SIR,—I write as invited by Lord Brock (12 February, p. 440). I am one of the signatories of the letter "Doctors and Overpopulation" (8 January, p. 108), and also have some experience of both male and female sterilization.

He agrees that "as responsible, thinking citizens there is no doubt that we have, or should have, great concern" about the problems of overpopulation. Failing to see this as "a medical concern," he then states that in our letter we are speaking as social reformers, not as doctors. At one point in his letter he makes a further statement, the logic of which escapes me, that one of our objectives may be to abandon the Hippocratic code—though later conceding that a change "to include a social code," rather than abandonment, is involved.

Personally, and I am sure that in this I am speaking for the others who signed on 8 January, I consider we were writing not so much as social reformers as about no less a question than survival. Before dismissing this as "doomsday talk," it should be realized that population projections for a given year in the future do not mean that the figure will necessarily be reached—they merely refer to the expected numbers if current trends were to continue. We live on a finite island, the number of whose inhabitants is increasing at about 700-800 persons a day, situated on a finite "spaceship earth," which is required at present to accommodate 200,000 new passengers daily. Yet this country imports half its food, being able to do so at present because it has money; inevitably, if present worldwide and national trends continue, a time must come when the rest of the world has insufficient food to sell. We may then find our money rather indigestible.

In the face of this degree of urgency, though vasectomy (about which Lord Brock

seems chiefly concerned) is no panacea, it must be made available on voluntary non-strictly-medical grounds, as widely as possible, and preferably without payment of any fee by the patient. If this requires a change in the Hippocratic code to include a social code, then why not? If we can support this kind of action as "responsible, thinking citizens," then why not as doctors? And fears about the future inclusion of a communist code, or of any element of compulsion, are groundless if sufficient action is taken on a voluntary basis now.

I think that we did give this aspect "real cold thought" before signing the letter. Indeed, Sir, perhaps the really "cold thought" is the one about the stringent measures which may become unavoidable if continuing population growth is not halted. Perhaps some warmth and reassurance can be derived from the fact that over 800 doctors have now written in support of our views.—I am, etc.,

JOHN GUILLEBAUD
Chairman, Population Committee,
The Conservation Society

Oxford

SIR,—Drs. Shirley and Philip Nicholas (5 February, p. 377) complain "What a struggle it has been to get contraceptive instruction to the midwives. . . ."

The Central Midwives Board has included the subject of family planning in the training of pupil midwives and has encouraged lectures on family planning in all approved refresher courses since 1968.

Midwives are well placed to advise their patients on contraception and sterilization, but these techniques are essentially the province of a doctor. The Board does not include the practical application of contraceptive methods in its syllabus, but encourages midwives to attend Family Planning Association courses.—I am, etc.,

HUMPHREY ARTHUR
Chairman, Central Midwives Board

London S.W.7

Malnutrition and Body Temperature

SIR,—Dr. O. G. Brooke's observation (5 February, p. 331) that the temperatures of hypothermic children in a thermoneutral environment are dropping at the rate of over 3°C in 12 hours emphasizes the very acute nature of the hypothermic emergency. These children are dying before one's eyes, and the fact that this process can be so easily reversed with frequent feeds is a very notable finding. Those of us who have tried to rewarm these children by physical means know how difficult this can be and how often we failed.

The effects of hypothermia, usually known as cold injury, persist long after the temperature has returned to normal, and we would be very interested to see any observations that Dr. Brooke has in this regard. We were of the opinion in 1963, and we remain of the opinion, that the clinical syndrome of kwashiorkor is in fact the result of hypothermia in a malnourished child. It is the form taken by cold injury superimposed on a malnourished state.

Kwashiorkor can be of very acute onset. We have ourselves seen two cases both of which developed the full-blown picture overnight in a hospital ward. Many of the child-